1890 Teaching, Research and Extension Capacity Building Grants Program

FY 2013 RFA Review
Webinar Agenda

• What’s the Same

• What’s New

• Quality of the applications

• Logic Model: understanding and preparation
What’s the Same in FY2013 RFA

- For FY 2013, number of applications which can be submitted by an institution is twelve (12).
- Requirement for applications to be aligned with institution’s strategic plan, goals and objectives as related to food and agricultural sciences.
- Use of a Logic Model is mandated for integrated applications and recommended for the other types.
- Applicants can be serve as PD on two active 1890 CBGs projects.
What’s the Same in FY 2013 RFA

• For 2013, applicants can only submit one proposal as PD; no limit as Co-PD

• An eligible institution may receive awards up to a maximum of 10 percent (10%) of the appropriated 1890 CBG funds

• Detailed reporting requirements are included in the RFA
## Maximum Award

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Type</th>
<th>Teaching</th>
<th>Research</th>
<th>Extension</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td>$300,000</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint</td>
<td>$300,000</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>$350,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrated</td>
<td></td>
<td>$600,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What’s New in FY 2013 RFA

• Page 8, encourage projects that develop content suitable for eXtension

• Page 9, language regarding CBG support Federal STEM goals
  – Projects are not required to focus on STEM. However, include references to STEM in your application, if applicable.

• Page 22, Responsible and Ethical Conduct of Research
  – Documentation of training is not required with the application, but must be available upon request.
What’s New in FY 2013 RFA

  – Please disregard both hyperlink and use the Project Summary template provided in the RFA Appendix.

• Page 33, Oversight in “Response to Previous Reviews” section:
  – “Resubmitted” extension and integrated applications must include responses to previous reviews.

• Appendix Project Evaluation and Reporting
  – Intended to provide suggestions on the type of information to collect and report.
Closing date is March 5th, 2013
At
5:00 PM (EST)

Please plan to submit applications before the due date.
Recommendation to Improve Funding Rate

- Establish quality control for applications.
- Ensure that submitted applications address your capacity building needs.
- Institutions should submit their proposals ahead of the deadline. Early submission will provide the applicants with enough time to respond to needed modification, correction or re-submission.
- Do not use acronyms or specialized language.
Recommendation to Improve Funding Rate

• Ensure that applications have required documentation such as letter of support, budget form and justification.

• **Information needed for Consultants include:** Letter of Commitment, Statement of Work and Rate of Pay information

• Check the applications for completion as well as correct documentation prior to submission.

• Check program code and discipline codes.
Recommendation to Improve Funding Rate

- Be sure that e-mail addresses for the PD and AR are correct on the application and in e-grant.gov system.

- Upon completing submission of all applications, Institutions are encouraged to e-mail list of the submitted proposals to the Program Specialist (Garland C. Robertson) to track applications in the system.
  - The list should include: PD name, Proposal title, grant number provided by grants.gov.

- Be sure that applications and all documentation are submitted in **specified format** identified in the RFA.
1890 Integrated Applications in CBGP

Solving today’s problems through integrated Research, Education, & Extension
What does optimal integration look like?

Research, education, and extension components complement one another and are truly necessary for the ultimate success of the project.
Budget Allocation for Integrated Application

• Research, Education and Extension (2 of 3 components)
  – Logic model required
  – No more than 2/3 of budget devoted to any single component
  – Management plan required
## Logic model as a planning and an evaluation tool

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Situation</th>
<th>Inputs</th>
<th>Activities</th>
<th>Outputs</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| A problem of local and national interest | $$$$ Expenditures or Investments Staff and partners | Genetically engineer a bacterium to convert cellulosic material into ethanol | • One bacterium engineered  
• Patents  
• New applications | • Advanced knowledge  
• High efficiency conversation  
• Cost savings  
• Improved quality  
• Reduced dependency on foreign oil |

**Assumptions**

A problem of local and national interest  
For example: Reduce the nation's dependency on foreign oil

**External factors**

$\$\$\$ Expenditures or Investments Staff and partners
Questions Regarding Logic Model?

• E-mail questions to Henry Doan
  hdoan@nifa.usda.gov

• For more information, visit the Planning and Accountability web page at www.nifa.usda.gov/opa
Questions and Answers
Program Contacts

• **Edwin Lewis, NPL**
  (202) 690-4565
elewis@nifa.usda.gov

• **Garland C. Robertson, Program Specialist**
  (202) 401-4512
grobertson@nifa.usda.gov